



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/000,143	10/23/2001	Gauthier Barret	Barret-1	8524	
7590 03/04/2004			EXAMINER		
Duane, Morris & Heckscher, LLP			ELMORE, REBA I		
Suite 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
100 College Road West					
Princeton, NJ	08540		2187	8	
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/200-	DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sm

		GV.	۲			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/000,143	BARRET ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
	Reba I. Elmore	2187	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Oc	ctober 2001 and 12 April 2002.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration.  election requirement.  r.  a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	• • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/000,143

Art Unit: 2187

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-12 are presented for examination.

#### Specification

- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the content of the abstract is a copy of the originally filed claim 1 and does not meet the requirements for proper content for an abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the summary of the invention should not contain copies of the claims. Applicant is reminded of the proper content for this section of the disclosure. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/000,143

Art Unit: 2187

Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

- 6. The brief description of the drawings for Figure 1 is confusing in that the meaning of the language 'very schematically' is unclear and undefined.
- 7. The language 'very schematically' also appears on page 5, line 14 without further explanation.
- 8. The specification is also objected to for using claim style language within the detailed description of the disclosure. Applicant is reminded of the proper content for this section of the disclosure. Appropriate correction is required.

Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/000,143

Art Unit: 2187

9. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeRoo et al.
- 12. DeRoo teaches the invention (claim 1) as claimed including a method for controlling the access to all or part of the content of a first memory integrated with a microprocessor (e.g., see Summary of the Invention, col. 2, lines 27-44) with the first memory being an EEPROM, the method comprising:

using a priority-holding interrupt is taught as capturing writes to a protected range of addresses rather than allowing a signal such as a global erasure to take place (e.g., see col. 78, lines 20-36);

using at least one register of keys is taught as a write-once-read-many (WORM) register which is accessed when a cold reboot of the system is performed (e.g., see col. 84, lines 30-47); and,

applying at least one access control algorithm contained in a second auxiliary memory and using the content of at least one integrated storage element and the content of the key register, the content of the auxiliary memory being programmable only once is taught as the

Art Unit: 2187

algorithms required which allows an update to the firmware at the time of a cold reboot to the system (e.g., see col. 84, line 30 to col. 85, line 13).

As to claim 2, DeRoo teaches at least one sub-program authorizing the execution of a function of access to the first memory is contained in the auxiliary memory (e.g., see 78, line 21 to col. 79, line 21).

As to claim 3, DeRoo teaches the priority-holding interrupt is non-interruptible even by itself as an HUI trap (e.g., see col. 79, lines 23-36).

As to claim 4, DeRoo teaches the priority-holding interrupt is generated provided that a signal (mode) indicative of an access control operating mode is in an active state as an HUI trap which is generated with a global erase is in an active state (e.g., see col. 79, lines 23-36).

As to claim 5, DeRoo teaches the priority holding interrupt can be generated upon occurrence of an interrupt request coming from the outside of the integrated circuit or from the inside as the interrupt being generated either by software or hardware (e.g., see col. 79, lines 23-36).

As to claim 6, DeRoo teaches the first memory is a program memory containing embarked functions with the embarked functions including functions related to resets of the system under different conditions (e.g., see col. 80, lines 21-44).

As to claim 7, DeRoo teaches the storage element is formed by the program memory (e.g., see col. 79, lines 23-36).

13. DeRoo teaches the invention (claim 8) as claimed including a circuit comprising:

a microprocessor integrated with at least a first memory which includes a second
auxiliary memory adapted to contain a sub-program enabling authorizing the execution of a
function of access to the first memory with the auxiliary memory being programmable only once

with the first memory being an EEPROM and the auxiliary memory being a WORM register (e.g., see col. 79, line 23 to col. 85, line 13).

As to claim 9, DeRoo teaches a means for selecting a memory at the input of a memory interface of the microprocessor (e.g., see col. 75, lines 9-47);

the auxiliary memory as a WORM register (e.g., see col. 84, lines 29-47); and,

the first memory, the selection of the first memory otherwise than the execution of a function that it contains, requiring an authorization from an algorithm contained in the auxiliary memory and using the content of at least one integrated storage element and the content of the key register (e.g., see col. 84, line 29 to col. 85, line 13).

As to claim 10, DeRoo teaches the first memory and the storage element are the same program memory (e.g., see col. 75, line 8 to col. 78, line 19).

As to claim 11, DeRoo teaches a means for generating a priority-holding interrupt for executing the sub-program, the generation occurring provided that an HUI trap is needed to prevent a global erase of the protected address range (e.g., see col. 79, lines 23-37);

a signal (mode) indicative of an access-control-operating mode is in an active state with the HUI trap providing a signal mode when the global erase is in an active state (e.g., see col. 79, lines 23-37);

an access to the first memory has been requested otherwise than for a non-interruptible execution of one of the functions that it contains as access to the EEPROM (e.g., see col. 79, lines 23-37); and,

an interrupt signal is active, the resulting priority-holding interrupt being noninterruptible even by itself (e.g., see col. 79, lines 23-37). Art Unit: 2187

As to claim 12, DeRoo teaches a means for implementing access to all or part of a content of the first memory integrated with the microprocessor using a priority-holding interrupt and at least one register of keys and applying at least one access control algorithm of at least one integrated storage element and the content of the key register, the content of the auxiliary memory being programmable only once (e.g., see col. 79, line 23 to col. 85, line 13).

#### Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reba I. Elmore, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH from 7:30am to 6:00pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the art unit supervisor for AU 2187, Donald Sparks, can be reached for general questions concerning this application at (703) 308-1756. Additionally, the official fax phone number for the art unit is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

Reba I. Elmore

Primary Patent Examiner

Rha P. El

Art Unit 2187

March 2, 2004